

**BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

23 September 2009

ADDENDUM TO PAPER J96

**SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED SINCE THE
PUBLICATION OF THE AGENDA BUT BEFORE 12 NOON ON THE WORKING DAY
BEFORE THE MEETING AND ERRATA**

Item No	Page	Summary
2	10-18	<p><u>Agent</u> The applicant has agreed to use a good quality natural slate for the roof finish and a sample would be provided for approval. The applicant has also confirmed the plinth wall being reused in the building will be examined in detail and re-pointed/cleaned where necessary to give a more pleasing appearance.</p> <p>In addition the applicant has confirmed that the outbuilding would be used to store hay, straw, shavings (bedding), farm/garden machinery, equestrian and related items. Furthermore no ground levels have been/will be changed that might affect the adjoining wall and that all elevations have been drawn to scale, to accurately show all necessary heights. In his view a condition is not required to address this matter.</p>
3	19-24	<p><u>Agent</u> Amended drawings submitted that provide for the deletion of the rear dormer window to bedroom 1 to Plot 1 and the insertion of a high level velux window set above eye level. The plans for Plot 2 have been revised by the addition of a dormer window to the side elevation and the deletion of a window to the staircase at first floor level.</p> <p><u>Mr and Mrs Attwood</u> In response to amended plan regarding Plot 1 previous concerns are reiterated. Whilst the insertion of a rear rooflight to bedroom 3 (instead of the dormer window initially proposed) improves the situation, still concerned that they will be overlooked by bedroom 2, and to a lesser extent bedroom 3.</p> <p><u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> The description of the application has been amended to include 'new vehicular access'.</p>
4	25-29	<p><u>Suffolk Preservation Society</u> Consider the proposal represents over development of a back land site that would appear cramped and car dominated.</p> <p><u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> Additional condition required to control finished floor levels and proposed grounds levels of the site.</p>
5	30-36	<p><u>Local Highway Authority</u> Comments that the proposed access requires the provision of visibility splays. Suggests the imposition of conditions to control the gradient of the access, surface water drainage, turning facilities and the height of any boundary treatment.</p>

Item No	Page	Summary
		<p><u>Suffolk Preservation Society</u> Consider the proposal represents over development of a back land site that would appear cramped and car dominated.</p> <p><u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> Additional condition required to control finished floor levels and proposed grounds levels of the site.</p>
6	37-42	<p><u>Sudbury Town Council</u> Support the application.</p> <p><u>Local Highway Authority</u> Has provided guidance to the applicant in relation to loading and unloading arrangements and the restrictions that exist in relation to on-street parking.</p> <p><u>Mr Ambrose and Miss Ambrose</u> Object. Consider the proposal will overlook their property resulting in a loss of privacy. Do not consider the proposed choice of materials to be appropriate. Concerned that the properties could subsequently be used as flats.</p> <p><u>Mr Snell</u> Considers the proposal will result in overdevelopment of the site and generate additional noise and activity. The proposed dormer windows to the rear will result in a loss of privacy. There is insufficient parking space available and points out that the site does not currently enjoy a vehicular access onto Girling Street.</p> <p><u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> Additional condition required to control finished floor levels and proposed grounds levels of the site.</p>
7	43-49	<p><u>Local Highway Authority</u> No objection, no conditions recommended.</p> <p><u>County Archaeologist</u> Has confirmed that the standard PPG16 Para 30 condition is required.</p> <p><u>BDC Contaminated Land Officer</u> No objection, but would recommend a planning condition is added regarding the need for a contaminated land assessment.</p> <p><u>Suffolk Preservation Society</u> Object. The proposal is an overdevelopment. A single live work unit would be more appropriate. There are no sustainable features included in the properties.</p> <p><u>Solicitor to the Council</u> Has confirmed that the legal agreement relating to open space has been completed.</p> <p><u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> Additional condition required to control finished floor levels and proposed grounds levels of the site and the comments of the County Archaeologist.</p>

Item No	Page	Summary
8	50-51	<u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> Additional condition required to control finished floor levels and proposed grounds levels of the site.

22 September 2009