

**BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL  
DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

**20 JANUARY 2010**

**ADDENDUM TO PAPER J159**

**SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED SINCE THE  
PUBLICATION OF THE AGENDA BUT BEFORE 12 NOON ON THE WORKING  
DAY BEFORE THE MEETING AND ERRATA**

<b>Item No</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Summary</b>
1	5-15	<u>Environment Agency</u> The Environment Agency's standing advice in relation to surface water drainage applies in relation to the application. The use of Sustainable Drainage Systems would be encouraged.
2	16 -22	<u>Agent</u> Has advised that the Redland Cathedral Pantile in Brindle will be used to the roofs and not the material specified in paragraph 9 of the Officer's report.
4	27-38	<u>Environment Agency</u> <b>OBJECT</b> There is uncertainty as to whether effective surface water drainage can be provided to the open receiving water course downstream of Duff's Hill. (The Environment Agency's previous comments given at paragraph 30 of the Officer's report have been withdrawn).  <u>Suffolk County Council: Children and Young Peoples Service</u> Has indicated that a developer contribution of £131,206 would be required to meet the educational requirements arising from the proposal.  <u>Suffolk Primary Care Trust</u> Has indicated that a developer contribution of £13,250 would be required to meet the health requirements arising from the proposal.  <u>Errata</u> The table given at paragraph 10 to the Officer's report should refer to 2 x 2 bedroom flats and not as stated in column 2, row 3. The figures provided above may therefore be subject to change.
5	39-41	<u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> The Monitoring Officer has reviewed the planning application file and is satisfied that the case has been processed properly and correctly.
6	42-44	<u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> The Monitoring Officer has reviewed the planning application file and is satisfied that the case has been processed properly and correctly.  The District Council has also received an e-mail from the tenant of No.13 Woolards Gardens addressed to the Tenant Services Officer.

Item No	Page	Summary
		<p>It is not clear whether the representations were intended for consideration in conjunction with the assessment of the planning application or addressed to the District Council in its role as landlord. In summary the following points were, however, made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the plans are not fair and proportionate to all residents (request for a gated access as opposed to an allocated parking space),</li> <li>- there would be no way of ensuring the use of the allocated space by this property</li> <li>- the provision of future access (including for emergency vehicles) and parking provision for this property will be compromised or made impossible, and,</li> <li>- the scheme does not meet the needs of my household.</li> </ul>

19 January 2010