

**BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

1 JULY 2009

ADDENDUM TO PAPER J47

**SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED SINCE THE
PUBLICATION OF THE AGENDA BUT BEFORE 12 NOON ON THE WORKING
DAY BEFORE THE MEETING AND ERRATA**

Item No	Page	Summary
2	9 - 11	<p><u>Mr Lavender</u> Objects on the grounds that the proposal will generate additional foul water drainage flows. Comments that the existing foul drainage pipe passing through his property is damaged and may cause an outbuilding to subside.</p> <p><u>Aboricultural Officer</u> Has advised that none of the significant protected trees should be jeopardised by the proposal. Recommends the imposition of a tree protection fencing condition to safeguard the trees during the construction period.</p>
4	16-22	<p><u>Contaminated Land Officer</u> Has confirmed that the absence of a desk top study to establish the presence or otherwise of contamination is a reason for refusal.</p>
5	23 -32	<p><u>Mr Gossett</u> Questions why it is necessary for the application to be submitted. If the building was not being constructed correctly works should have been stopped at a much earlier stage. Considers the application should be resolved quickly.</p> <p><u>Mr and Mrs Clarke (24.06.2009)</u> Objects. Considers the external staircase infringes upon their privacy. Comments that the building is too large and that the applicant should have abided by the approved plans. The development should have been stopped at the construction stage. The tractor shed is now much larger than the approved plans. The development does not respect adjacent listed buildings. They question whether the construction of a wooden building presents a fire hazard.</p> <p><u>Mr and Mrs Clarke (30.06.2009)</u> Additional comments made in connection with the impact of the proposal upon their property. They point out that it is possible for users of the external staircase to look into their cottage.</p>

Item No	Page	Summary
6	33 - 50	<p><u>Agent (24.06.2009)</u> Confirms that at least 10% of the energy requirements for the development will be provided from decentralised sources. Consideration is being given to the use of a ground source heat pump or biomass boiler for the dwelling to plot 11.</p> <p><u>Agent (29.06.2009)</u> Revisions made to the short and long term conservation plan in the light of negotiations that have been concluded on the content of the planning agreement. The development appraisal has been amended accordingly. Additional plan submitted to show the intended phasing of the conservation works.</p> <p><u>Chief Planning Control Officer</u> Resolution 2 requires revision to include an energy generation condition.</p>
7	51-53	<p><u>Cllr N Bennett</u> Considers the principle of a single storey extension to be acceptable but raises two issues. Firstly as the back garden falls away from the property the extension will be higher at the far end. Secondly the shape of the roof will mean that the highest point is nearer to the neighbouring property. This will increase the loss of light to a main room in the neighbouring property and increase the potential for the extension to be overbearing on the neighbours.</p>

30 June 2009

F:\DOCS\Committee\REPORTS\Development\2009\010709Addendum.doc